

Paul J. Riehle (SBN 115199)  
paul.riehle@faegredrinker.com

**FAEGRE DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP**  
Four Embarcadero Center  
San Francisco, California 94111  
Telephone: (415) 591-7500  
Facsimile: (415) 591-7510

Christine A. Varney (*pro hac vice*)  
cvarney@cravath.com

Katherine B. Forrest (*pro hac vice*)  
kforrest@cravath.com

Gary A. Bornstein (*pro hac vice*)  
gbornstein@cravath.com

Timothy G. Cameron (*pro hac vice*)  
tcameron@cravath.com

Yonatan Even (*pro hac vice*)  
yeven@cravath.com

Lauren A. Moskowitz (*pro hac vice*)  
lmoskowitz@cravath.com

Justin C. Clarke (*pro hac vice*)  
jcclarke@cravath.com

M. Brent Byars (*pro hac vice*)  
mbvars@cravath.com

**CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP**  
825 Eighth Avenue  
New York, New York 10019  
Telephone: (212) 474-1000  
Facsimile: (212) 474-3700

*Attorneys for Plaintiff Epic Games, Inc.*

Karma M. Giulianelli (SBN 184175)  
karma.giulianelli@bartlitbeck.com

**BARTLIT BECK LLP**  
1801 Wewetta St., Suite 1200  
Denver, Colorado 80202  
Telephone: (303) 592-3100

Hae Sung Nam (*pro hac vice*)  
hnam@kaplanfox.com

**KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP**  
850 Third Avenue  
New York, NY 10022  
Tel.: (212) 687-1980

*Co-Lead Counsel for the Proposed Class  
in In re Google Play Consumer Antitrust  
Litigation*

Brendan P. Glackin (SBN 199643)  
bglackin@agutah.gov  
**OFFICE OF THE UTAH ATTORNEY  
GENERAL**  
160 E 300 S, 5th Floor  
PO Box 140872  
Salt Lake City, UT 84114-0872  
Telephone: 801-366-0260

*Counsel for Utah and the Plaintiff States*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

*Epic Games, Inc. v. Google LLC*, Case No.  
3:20-cv-05671-JD

*In re Google Play Consumer Antitrust  
Litigation*, Case No. 3:20-cv-05761-JD

*Utah v. Google LLC*, Case No. 3:21-cv-  
05227-JD

CASE NO. 3:21-MD-02981-JD

**PLAINTIFFS' ADMINISTRATIVE  
MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER  
ANOTHER PARTY'S MATERIAL  
SHOULD BE SEALED**

PLAINTIFFS' ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER ANOTHER  
PARTY'S MATERIAL SHOULD BE SEALED

Case Nos. 3:21-md-02981-JD; 3:20-cv-05671-JD; 3:20-cv-05761-JD; 3:21-cv-5227-JD

Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 7-11 and 79-5, Plaintiffs in the above-captioned actions, Epic Games, Inc., Consumer Plaintiffs and Plaintiff States (collectively, “Plaintiffs”) hereby move the Court to issue an administrative order on the filing under seal of certain portions of Plaintiffs’ Joint Discovery Letter Re: The Deposition Of Armin Zerza (“Plaintiffs’ Letter”). A public redacted version of Plaintiffs’ Letter was filed as required by this Court’s Local Rules.

Subsection (f) of Civil Local Rule 79-5 sets forth procedures that apply when a party (the “Filing Party”) seeks to file information designated as confidential by another party or non-party (the “Designating Party”). Under subsection (f)(1), the Filing Party’s Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Another Party’s Material Should Be Sealed “must identify each document or portions thereof for which sealing is sought”. Pursuant to subsection (f)(3) of Local Rule 79-5, the Designating Party then has 7 days to file a declaration establishing that all of the designated material is “sealable” according to the standards set out in subsection (c)(1), after which any party may respond within 4 days, pursuant to subsection (f)(4). Civil Local Rule 79-5 provides that documents, or portions thereof, may be sealed if a party makes “a specific statement of the applicable legal standard and the reasons for keeping a document under seal, including an explanation of (i) the legitimate private or public interests that warrant sealing; (ii) the injury that will result if sealing is denied; and (iii) why a less restrictive alternative to sealing is not sufficient”. Civ. L.R. 79-5(c)(1) (emphasis in original).

Plaintiffs’ Motion contains portions that are sourced from documents that Defendants Google LLC, Google Ireland Limited, Google Commerce Limited, Google Asia Pacific Pte. Limited, and Google Payment Corp. (collectively, “Google”), and non-party Activision Blizzard, Inc., have designated as “CONFIDENTIAL”, “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY” or “NON- PARTY HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – OUTSIDE COUNSEL EYES ONLY”, pursuant to the Protective Order entered by the Court, Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD, ECF Nos. 123, 154 and 170. Those portions are identified in the declaration submitted herewith and highlighted in the under seal version of Plaintiffs’ Letter.

1 Dated: May 27, 2022

CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP  
Christine Varney (*pro hac vice*)  
Katherine B. Forrest (*pro hac vice*)  
Gary A. Bornstein (*pro hac vice*)  
Timothy G. Cameron (*pro hac vice*)  
Yonatan Even (*pro hac vice*)  
Lauren A. Moskowitz (*pro hac vice*)  
Justin C. Clarke (*pro hac vice*)  
M. Brent Byars (*pro hac vice*)

7 FAEGRE DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP  
Paul J. Riehle (SBN 115199)

8 Respectfully submitted,

9 By: /s/ J. Wesley Earnhardt

10 J. Wesley Earnhardt

11 *Counsel for Plaintiff Epic Games, Inc.*

13  
14 Dated: May 27, 2022

BARTLIT BECK LLP  
Karma M. Giulianelli

15 KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP  
16 Hae Sung Nam

17 Respectfully submitted,

18 By: /s/ Karma M. Giulianelli

19 Karma M. Giulianelli

20 *Co-Lead Counsel for the Proposed Class in*  
21 *In re Google Play Consumer Antitrust*  
22 *Litigation*

1 Dated: May 27, 2022

OFFICE OF THE UTAH ATTORNEY GENERAL  
Brendan P. Glackin

2  
3 Respectfully submitted,

4 By: /s/ Brendan P. Glackin  
Brendan P. Glackin

5  
6 *Counsel for Utah*  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**E-FILING ATTESTATION**

I, Brendan Benedict, am the ECF user whose ID and password are being used to file this document. In compliance with Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that each of the signatories identified above has concurred in this filing.

/s/ Brendan Benedict

Brendan Benedict